

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

JUWEIYA ABDIAZIZ ALI, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DONALD TRUMP, et al.,

Defendants.


CASE NO. C17-0135JLR

ORDER REGARDING THE
BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Before the court is Plaintiffs' motion seeking to synchronize the briefing schedule on their motion for a preliminary injunction (PI Mot. (Dkt. # 9)) with the briefing schedule on a motion for a preliminary injunction in *Washington v. Trump*, No. C17-0141JLR (W.D. Wash.). (Mot. (Dkt. # 31).) On February 13, 2017, the court entered an order in *Washington v. Trump*, indicating that because the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals had construed the court's TRO as a preliminary injunction, the district court would not entertain any further briefing on a motion for preliminary injunction in that case. *See Washington v. Trump*, No. C17-0141JLR (W.D. Wash.), Dkt. ## 77 (Min.

1 Entry), 78 (2/14/17 Order). Accordingly, the court DENIES Plaintiffs' motion (Dkt.
2 # 31) and ORDERS the parties to proceed with briefing Plaintiffs' motion for a
3 preliminary injunction in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this
4 court's Local Civil Rules. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 65; Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(d)(3)
5 ("Motions . . . seeking a preliminary injunction . . . shall be noted for consideration on a
6 date no earlier than the fourth Friday after filing and service of the motion.").

7 Dated this 14th day of February, 2017.

8
9 

10 JAMES L. ROBART
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22